

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
LITTERA SCRIPTA MANET
OF THE UNITED STATES

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME I 1934 NUMBER 200

Washington, Tuesday, December 22, 1936

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.

EXECUTIVE ORDER

**WITHDRAWAL OF PUBLIC LAND FOR USE OF THE WAR DEPARTMENT
AS A TARGET RANGE FOR THE ARIZONA NATIONAL GUARD**

Arizona

By virtue of and pursuant to the authority vested in me by the act of June 25, 1910, ch. 421, 36 Stat. 847, as amended by the act of August 24, 1912, ch. 369, 37 Stat. 497, it is ordered that the following-described land in the State of Arizona be, and it is hereby, temporarily withdrawn from settlement, location, sale, or entry and reserved for use of the War Department as a target range for the Arizona National Guard:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN

T. 14 S., R. 12 E., W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 13, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 14,
NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 23.

Executive Order No. 6910 of November 26, 1934, as amended, is hereby modified to the extent necessary to make this order effective.

This order shall continue in full force and effect unless and until revoked by the President or by act of Congress.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT

THE WHITE HOUSE,
December 18, 1936.

[No. 7520]

[F. R. Doc. 3893—Filed, December 19, 1936; 12:35 p. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

Agricultural Adjustment Administration.

[Docket No. A-40 O-40]

**NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO PROPOSAL TO AMEND
ORDER NO. 12 REGULATING HANDLING OF MILK IN DUBUQUE,
IOWA, MARKETING AREA, AND WITH RESPECT TO PROPOSAL TO
AMEND MARKETING AGREEMENT TENTATIVELY APPROVED
AUGUST 17, 1936**

Whereas, under section 8c of Title I of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as amended, hereinafter called the act, the Secretary of Agriculture, hereinafter called the Secretary, has issued an order regulating the handling of milk in the Dubuque, Iowa, Marketing Area, effective 12:01 a. m., c. s. t., October 1, 1936;¹ and

Whereas the Secretary tentatively approved the marketing agreement regulating the handling of milk in the said marketing area on August 17, 1936;² and

¹ 1 F. R. 1597.

² 1 F. R. 1299.

Whereas the Secretary has reason to believe that an amendment should be made to said order and said marketing agreement; and

Whereas, under the act, notice of hearing is required in connection with a proposal to amend an order, and the General Regulations, Series A, No. 1, as amended, of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration, provide for notice and opportunity for hearing upon marketing agreements and orders;

Now, therefore, pursuant to the act and the general regulations, notice is hereby given of a hearing to be held on a proposal to amend the order regulating the handling of milk in the Dubuque, Iowa, Marketing Area and the tentatively approved marketing agreement regulating the handling of milk in the Dubuque, Iowa, Marketing Area, on December 29, 1936, at 11:00 a. m., c. s. t., in the office of the Market Administrator, New Post Office Building, Dubuque, Iowa.

This public hearing is for the purpose of receiving evidence, among other things, as to the necessity for (1) changing the minimum prices set forth in Article IV of said order and said marketing agreement, and (2) such other evidence as may relate to the provisions of said order and said marketing agreement.

Copies of the proposal to amend the order and the marketing agreement may be inspected in, or procured from, the Office of the Hearing Clerk, Room 4725, South Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C.

[SEAL]

H. A. WALLACE,
Secretary of Agriculture.

Dated, December 19, 1936.

[F. R. Doc. 3894—Filed, December 19, 1936; 12:37 p. m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION.

ORDER

At a General Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 19th day of December A. D. 1936.

[Ex Parte No. 115]

IN THE MATTER OF INCREASES IN FREIGHT RATES AND CHARGES

Upon consideration of the petition of class I railroads, filed November 21, 1936, proposing certain changes in their freight rates and charges similar and supplemental to those proposed by said railroads in their petition of October 23, 1936:

It is ordered, That the lawfulness and propriety of the proposals in said petition of November 21, 1936, be included among the matters and things involved in the reopening of the above-entitled proceeding, assigned for further hearing¹ at the office of the Interstate Commerce Commission in

¹ 1 F. R. 2321.



Published by the Division of the Federal Register, The National Archives, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. L. 500), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee, with the approval of the President.

The Administrative Committee consists of the Archivist or Acting Archivist, an officer of the Department of Justice designated by the Attorney General, and the Public Printer or Acting Public Printer.

The daily issue of the Federal Register will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1 per month or \$10 per year; single copies 5 cents; payable in advance. Remit by money order payable to Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

Correspondence concerning the publication of the Federal Register should be addressed to the Director, Division of the Federal Register, The National Archives, Washington, D. C.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Department of Agriculture:	Page
Agricultural Adjustment Administration:	
Notice of hearing, proposals to amend order and agreement on handling of milk, Dubuque, Iowa, Marketing Area.....	2511
Interstate Commerce Commission:	
Applications for relief from long-and-short-haul provision, Interstate Commerce Act:	
Building paper from Dallas, Tex., to:	
Memphis, Tenn.....	2514
Ohio River crossings.....	2514
Gravel and slag to South Atlantic ports.....	2514
Notice of hearings, applications to operate as carriers:	
Anderson Transportation Co.....	2512
Barnwell Brothers, Inc.....	2512
Hennis, S. A. and H. L.....	2513
Transport Corporation of Virginia.....	2513
Order in the matter of increases in freight rates and charges.....	2511
President of the United States:	
Executive Order:	
Arizona, public land withdrawal for use of War Department as target range for Arizona National Guard.....	2511
Rural Electrification Administration:	
Allocation of funds for loans.....	2515
Securities and Exchange Commission:	
Consent to withdrawals of filing of offering sheet, etc.:	
Witwer, L. H.....	2516
Notice of and order for hearings:	
Johnson, James M.....	2515
Republic Service Corp.....	2516
Orders terminating proceedings in the matter of offering sheets by:	
Johnson, James M.....	2516
Moll, Frank R.....	2516
Willett, P. W.....	2517
Securities Exchange Act of 1934:	
Amendment of Rule AN9 and Rule CB3.....	2515
Repeal of certain obsolete rules.....	2515
Suspension order, etc., offering sheet by:	
Creager, George C., Inc.....	2517

Washington, D. C., January 6, 1937, 10 o'clock a. m., standard time, before Commissioner Aitchison.

And it is further ordered, That a copy of this order be served upon each carrier by rail or water subject to the Interstate Commerce Act and upon each State, and that notice be given to the public by posting a copy in the office of the Secretary of the Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

GEORGE B. MCGINTY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 3900—Filed, December 21, 1936; 12:58 p. m.]

ORDER

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 5, held at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 10th day of December A. D. 1936.

[No. MC 1267]

APPLICATION OF ANDERSON TRANSPORTATION COMPANY FOR AUTHORITY TO OPERATE AS A COMMON CARRIER

In the Matter of the Application of Anderson Transportation Company, a Corporation, of Encampment, Wyo., for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (Form BMC 8), to Extend Its Present Operations Filed on Form BMC 1 (Contract Carrier), Authorizing Operation as a Common Carrier by Motor Vehicle in the Transportation of Special Commodities, in Interstate Commerce, in the States of Colorado, Iowa, Nebraska, and Wyoming, Over Regular Routes as Follows:

Route No. 1.—Between Council Bluffs, Iowa, and Riverton, Wyo.

Route No. 2.—Between Ogallala, Nebr., and Riverton, Wyo.

Route No. 3.—Between Beatrice, Nebr., and Greeley, Colo.

A more detailed statement of route or routes (or territory) is contained in said application, copies of which are on file and may be inspected at the office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., or offices of the boards, commissions, or officials of the States involved in this application.

It appearing, That the above-entitled matter is one which the Commission is authorized by the Motor Carrier Act, 1935, to refer to an examiner:

It is ordered, That the above-entitled matter be, and it is hereby, referred to Examiner M. T. Corcoran for hearing on the 5th day of January A. D. 1937, at 10 o'clock a. m. (standard time), at the rooms of Wyoming Public Service Commission, Cheyenne, Wyo., and for recommendation of an appropriate order thereon accompanied by the reasons therefor;

It is further ordered, That notice of this proceeding be duly given;

And it is further ordered, That any party desiring to be notified of any change in the time or place of the said hearing (at his own expense if telegraphic notice becomes necessary) shall advise the Bureau of Motor Carriers of the Commission, Washington, D. C., to that effect by notice which must reach the said Bureau within 10 days from the date of service hereof and that the date of mailing of this notice shall be considered as the time when said notice is served.

By the Commission, division 5.

[SEAL]

GEORGE B. MCGINTY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 3890—Filed, December 21, 1936; 12:57 p. m.]

ORDER

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 5, held at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 8th day of December A. D. 1936.

[No. MC 14181]

APPLICATION OF BARNWELL BROTHERS, INC., FOR AUTHORITY TO OPERATE AS A COMMON CARRIER

In the Matter of the Application of Barnwell Brothers, Inc., of Hawkins Street, Burlington, N. C., for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (Form BMC A-1), Authorizing Operation as a Common Carrier by Motor Vehicle in the Transportation of Commodities Generally, in Interstate Commerce, in the States of South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Delaware, New Jersey, New York, and District of Columbia, over the following Regular Routes:

Route No. 1.—Between Burlington, N. C., and New York, N. Y.

Route No. 2.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Scranton, Pa.

- Route No. 3.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Cumberland, Md.
 Route No. 4.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Richmond, Va.
 Route No. 5.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Lynchburg, Va.
 Route No. 6.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Asheboro, N. C.
 Route No. 7.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Biscoe, N. C.
 Route No. 8.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Red Springs, N. C.
 Route No. 9.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Fayetteville, N. C.
 Route No. 10.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Raleigh, N. C.
 Route No. 11.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Roxboro, N. C.
 Route No. 12.—Between Burlington, N. C., and McColl, S. C.
 Route No. 13.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Pittsboro, N. C.
 Route No. 14.—Between Burlington, N. C., and Statesville, N. C.
 Route No. 15.—Between Burlington and Charlotte, N. C.
 Route No. 16.—Between Charlotte and Mooresville, N. C.
 Route No. 17.—Between Charlotte and Grover, N. C.
 Route No. 18.—Between Shelby and Burlington, N. C.
 Route No. 19.—Between Shelby and Asheville, N. C.
 Route No. 20.—Between Shelby and Marion, N. C.
 Route No. 21.—Between Shelby and Falston, N. C.
 Route No. 22.—Between Shelby and Statesville, N. C.
 Route No. 23.—Between Shelby, N. C., and Greenville, S. C.
 Route No. 24.—Between Charlotte, N. C., and Ridgeway, S. C.
 Route No. 25.—Between Charlotte, N. C., and Columbia, S. C.
 Route No. 26.—Between New York, N. Y., and Cumberland, Md.
 Route No. 27.—Between Cumberland, Md., and Pittsburgh, Pa.
 Route No. 28.—Between Cumberland, Md., and Keyser, W. Va.

Also over irregular routes from and between points located within a radius of 35 miles from New York, N. Y.; 20 miles from Scranton, Pa.; 15 miles from Trenton, N. J.; 35 miles from Philadelphia, Pa.; 15 miles from Harrisburg, Pa.; 20 miles from Baltimore, Md.; 15 miles from Washington, D. C.; 15 miles from Cumberland, Md.; 20 miles from Richmond, Va.; 15 miles from Burlington, N. C.; 25 miles from Charlotte, N. C.; 25 miles from Shelby, N. C.; 25 miles from Asheville, N. C.; and 15 miles from Columbia, S. C.

A more detailed statement of route or routes (or territory) is contained in said application, copies of which are on file and may be inspected at the office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., or offices of the boards, commissions, or officials of the States involved in this application.

It appearing, That the above-entitled matter is one which the Commission is authorized by the Motor Carrier Act, 1935, to refer to an examiner:

It is ordered, That the above-entitled matter be, and it is hereby, referred to Examiner W. W. McCaslin for hearing on the 7th day of January A. D. 1937 at 10 o'clock a. m. (standard time), at the rooms of the Utilities Commission, Raleigh, N. C., and for recommendation of an appropriate order thereon accompanied by the reasons therefor:

It is further ordered, That notice of this proceeding be duly given;

And it is further ordered, That any party desiring to be notified of any change in the time or place of the said hearing (at his own expense if telegraphic notice becomes necessary) shall advise the Bureau of Motor Carriers of the Commission, Washington, D. C., to that effect by notice which must reach the said Bureau within 10 days from the date of service hereof and that the date of mailing of this notice shall be considered as the time when said notice is served.

By the Commission, division 5.

[SEAL]

GEORGE B. MCGINTY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 3896—Filed, December 21, 1936; 12:57 p. m.]

ORDER

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 5, held at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 8th day of December A. D. 1936.

[No. MC 64994]

APPLICATION OF S. A. HENNIS AND H. L. HENNIS FOR AUTHORITY TO OPERATE AS A COMMON CARRIER

In the Matter of the Application of S. A. Hennis and H. L. Hennis, Co-partners, Doing Business as S. A. and H. L. Hennis Freight Lines, of South Main Street, Mount Airy, N. C., for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (Form BMC 1), Authorizing Operation as a Common Carrier by Motor Vehicle in the Transportation of Commodities Generally, in Interstate Commerce, from and between Points Located in the States of Ohio, Indiana, North Carolina, West Virginia, and Virginia, over Regular and Irregular Routes.

A more detailed statement of route or routes (or territory) is contained in said application, copies of which are on file and may be inspected at the office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., or offices of the boards, commissions, or officials of the States involved in this application.

It appearing, That the above-entitled matter is one which the Commission is authorized by the Motor Carrier Act, 1935, to refer to an examiner:

It is ordered, That the above-entitled matter be, and it is hereby, referred to Examiner W. W. McCaslin for hearing on the 6th day of January A. D. 1937, at 10 o'clock a. m. (standard time), at the U. S. Court Rooms, Charlotte, N. C., and for recommendation of an appropriate order thereon accompanied by the reasons therefor;

It is further ordered, That notice of this proceeding be duly given;

And it is further ordered, That any party desiring to be notified of any change in the time or place of the said hearing (at his own expense if telegraphic notice becomes necessary) shall advise the Bureau of Motor Carriers of the Commission, Washington, D. C., to that effect by notice which must reach the said Bureau within 10 days from the date of service hereof and that the date of mailing of this notice shall be considered as the time when said notice is served.

By the Commission, division 5.

[SEAL]

GEORGE B. MCGINTY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 3896—Filed, December 21, 1936; 12:57 p. m.]

ORDER

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 5, held at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 8th day of December A. D. 1936.

[No. MC 44128]

APPLICATION OF TRANSPORT CORPORATION OF VIRGINIA FOR AUTHORITY TO OPERATE AS A CONTRACT CARRIER

In the Matter of the Application of the Transport Corporation of Virginia, of Citizens Bank & Trust Company Building, Blackstone, Va., for a Permit (Form BMC 1), Authorizing Operation as a Contract Carrier by Motor Vehicle in the Transportation of Commodities Generally, in Interstate Commerce, in the States of Virginia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Delaware, New Jersey, and District of Columbia, Over the Following Routes:

- Route No. 1.—Between Winston-Salem, N. C., and Camden, N. J.
 Route No. 2.—Between Winston-Salem, N. C., and Harrisburg, Pa.
 Route No. 3.—Between Winston-Salem, N. C., and Richmond, Va.

- Route No. 4.—Between Winston-Salem, N. C., and Philadelphia, Pa.
 Route No. 5.—Between Durham, N. C., and Richmond, Va.
 Route No. 6.—Between Danville, Va., and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 7.—Between South Boston, Va., and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 8.—Between South Hill and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 9.—Between Clarksville, Va., and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 10.—Between Chase City, Va., and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 11.—Between Kenbridge, Va., and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 12.—Between Petersburg, Va., and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 13.—Between Danville, Va., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 14.—Between South Boston, Va., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 15.—Between Chase City, Va., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 16.—Between Kenbridge, Va., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 17.—Between South Hill, Va., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 18.—Between Clarksville, Va., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 19.—Between Roxboro, N. C., and Danville, Va.
 Route No. 20.—Between Roxboro, N. C., and South Boston, Va.
 Route No. 21.—Between Lawrenceville, Va., and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 22.—Between Lawrenceville, Va., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 23.—Between Brookneal, Va., and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 24.—Between Brookneal, Va., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 25.—Between Winston-Salem, N. C., and Norfolk, Va.
 Route No. 26.—Between Richmond, Va., and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 27.—Between Mullins, S. C., and Durham, N. C.
 Route No. 28.—Between Dillon, S. C., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 29.—Between Mullins, S. C., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 30.—Between Kingstree, S. C., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 31.—Between Lake City, S. C., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 32.—Between Timmonsville, S. C., and Winston-Salem, N. C.
 Route No. 33.—Between Durham, N. C., and Newport News, Va.
 Route No. 34.—Between Hillsboro, N. C., and Richmond, Va.
 Route No. 35.—Between Wilson, N. C., and Danville, Va.
 Route No. 36.—Between Rocky Mount, N. C., and Danville, Va.
 Route No. 37.—Between Greenville, N. C., and Danville, Va.
 Route No. 38.—Between Kinston, N. C., and Danville, Va.
 Route No. 39.—Between Norfolk, Va., and Durham, N. C.

A more detailed statement of route or routes (or territory) is contained in said application, copies of which are on file and may be inspected at the office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., or offices of the boards, commissions, or officials of the States involved in this application.

It appearing, That the above-entitled matter is one which the Commission is authorized by the Motor Carrier Act, 1935, to refer to an examiner:

It is ordered, That the above-entitled matter be, and it is hereby, referred to Examiner W. W. McCaslin for hearing on the 11th day of January A. D. 1937, at 10 o'clock a. m. (standard time), at the rooms of the State Corporation Commission, Richmond, Va., and for recommendation of an appropriate order thereon accompanied by the reasons therefor:

It is further ordered, That notice of this proceeding be duly given;

And it is further ordered, That any party desiring to be notified of any change in the time or place of the said hearing (at his own expense if telegraphic notice becomes necessary) shall advise the Bureau of Motor Carriers of the Commission, Washington, D. C., to the effect by notice which must reach the said Bureau within 10 days from the date of service hereof and that the date of mailing of this notice shall be considered as the time when said notice is served.

By the Commission, division 5.

[SEAL]

GEORGE B. MCGINTY, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 3897—Filed, December 21, 1936; 12:57 p. m.]

[Fourth Section Application No. 16681]

BUILDING PAPER TO MEMPHIS, TENN.

DECEMBER 19, 1936.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: F. A. Leland, Agent.

Commodities involved: Paper, building or sheathing, not saturated, in carloads.

From: Dallas, Texas.

To: Memphis, Tenn.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Any interested party desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice; otherwise the Commission may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing.

By the Commission, division 2.

[SEAL]

GEORGE B. MCGINTY, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 3901—Filed, December 21, 1936; 12:58 p. m.]

[Fourth Section Application No. 16662]

BUILDING PAPER FROM DALLAS, TEX.

DECEMBER 19, 1936.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: F. A. Leland, Agent.

Commodity involved: Paper, building or sheathing.

From: Dallas, Tex.

To: Ohio River crossings.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Any interested party desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice; otherwise the Commission may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing.

By the Commission, division 2.

[SEAL]

GEORGE B. MCGINTY, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 3902—Filed, December 21, 1936; 12:58 p. m.]

[Fourth Section Application No. 16663]

GRAVEL AND SLAG TO SOUTH ATLANTIC PORTS

DECEMBER 21, 1936.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: J. E. Tilford, Agent.
Commodities involved: Gravel and slag, in carloads.
From: Montgomery, Birmingham, and Holt, Ala., to Brunswick, Ga.
From: Gravelton, N. C., to Charleston, S. C.
Grounds for relief: Water competition.

Any interested party desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice; otherwise the Commission may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing.

By the Commission, division 2.

[SEAL]

GEORGE B. MCGINTY, *Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 3903—Filed, December 21, 1936; 12:58 p. m.]

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION.

[Administrative Order No. 42]

ALLOCATION OF FUNDS FOR LOANS

DECEMBER 18, 1936.

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the provisions of Section 4 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, I hereby allocate, from the sums authorized by said Act, funds for Loans for the projects and in the amounts as set forth in the following schedule:

Project Designation:	Amount
Kansas 8 Allen.....	\$65,000
North Dakota 8 Benson.....	60,000
Ohio 31B Holmes.....	280,000
Wisconsin 38B Rock.....	40,000

MORRIS L. COOKE, *Administrator*.

[F. R. Doc. 3895—Filed, December 21, 1936; 10:35 a. m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

AMENDMENT OF RULE AN9 AND RULE CB3

The Securities and Exchange Commission, deeming such action necessary and appropriate in the public interest and for the protection of investors and necessary for the exercise of the functions vested in it, acting pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, particularly Sections 3 (a) (12) and 23 (a) thereof, hereby takes the following action:

I. Rule AN9 is amended by striking out the words "Rule AN7 or Rule AN8" in paragraph (a) of said Rule and inserting instead the words "Rule AN8 or Rule AN11."

Paragraph (a) of Rule AN9, as amended, reads as follows:

(a) Any security which is secured by property which, or a leasehold interest in which, is now owned by a person who was not the original issuer of such security, shall be exempt from the operation of Section 12 (a) to and including the respective dates indicated below, and for such longer period, if any, as would have been applicable under Rule AN8 or Rule AN11, if such security had been a security of such owner or lessee:

II. Rule CB3 is amended by striking out the words "Rules AN7, AN8, and AN9" from the title of the Rule and from paragraph (a) thereof, and inserting instead the words "Rules AN8, AN9, and AN11."

Paragraph (a) of Rule CB3, as amended, reads as follows:

(a) Rules AN8, AN9, and AN11 shall be applicable to any security which was listed on such exchange at the time such exemption was granted and which continued to be so listed until registration of such exchange became effective, with the same force and effect as though such registration had become effective on or before June 30, 1935, and temporary registration of such security on such exchange had expired on June 30, 1935.

The foregoing action shall be effective at the close of business on December 31, 1936.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS P. BRASSOR, *Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 3905—Filed, December 21, 1936; 1:02 p. m.]

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

REPEAL OF CERTAIN OBSOLETE RULES

The Securities and Exchange Commission, deeming it necessary and appropriate in the public interest and for the protection of investors so to do, pursuant to authority conferred upon it by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, particularly Sections 3 (a) (12), 12 (b) and (e), 13 (c), 15 (b), and 23 (a) thereof, hereby repeals the following Rules and parts of Rules:

AH1.

Paragraph (d) of JB2.

JB7.

JE1 to JE10, inclusive.

KC1.

Paragraph (e) of MB2.

The foregoing action shall be effective immediately upon publication.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS P. BRASSOR, *Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 3904—Filed, December 21, 1936; 1:02 p. m.]

United States of America—Before the Securities and Exchange Commission

At a regular session of the Securities and Exchange Commission held at its office in the City of Washington, D. C., on the 18th day of December A. D. 1936.

IN THE MATTER OF AN OFFERING SHEET OF A ROYALTY INTEREST IN THE STANOLIND-AMERADA-BIERSCHENK FARM (20-YEAR TERM), FILED ON NOVEMBER 20, 1936, BY JAMES M. JOHNSON, RESPONDENT

ORDER FOR HEARING (UNDER RULE 340 (B)) AND ORDER DESIGNATING TRIAL EXAMINER

The Securities and Exchange Commission, having reasonable grounds to believe, and therefore alleging, that the offering sheet described in the title hereof and filed by the respondent named therein is incomplete or inaccurate in the following material respects, to wit:

(1) In that the date in Division I when the information contained in the sheet will be out of date is miscalculated based on Item 4, Division II, and Exhibit A.

(2) In that Item 7, Division II, represents that the Wilcox sand is possibly productive on this tract at the depths it was encountered in the Edmond field. Geological data shows the tract involved is lower on the structure than in the Edmond field.

(3) In that the statement following Item 11, Division II, pertaining to the representations respecting prospective drilling and its effect on the value of the interests offered seems incorrect based on Item 9 and Exhibit A.

(4) In that Items 12 to 20 of Division II are omitted.

It is ordered, pursuant to Rule 340 (b) of the Commission's General Rules and Regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that an opportunity for hearing be given to the said respondent for the purpose of determining the material completeness or accuracy of the said offering sheet in the respects in which it is herein alleged to be incomplete or inaccurate, and whether an order of suspension shall be entered; and

It is further ordered that Charles S. Moore, an officer of the Commission, be and hereby is designated as trial examiner to preside at such hearing, to continue or adjourn the said hearing from time to time, to administer oaths and affirmations, subpoena witnesses, compel their attendance, take evidence, consider any amendments to said offering sheet as may be filed prior to the conclusion of the hearing, and require the production of any books, papers, correspondence, memoranda, or other records deemed relevant or material to the inquiry, and to perform all other duties in connection therewith authorized by law; and

It is further ordered that the taking of testimony in this proceeding commence on the 30th day of December 1936, at 10:30 o'clock in the forenoon, at the office of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 18th Street and Pennsylvania

Avenue, Washington, D. C., and continue thereafter at such times and places as said examiner may designate.

Upon the completion of testimony in this matter the examiner is directed to close the hearing and make his report to the Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS P. BRASSOR, *Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 3909—Filed, December 21, 1936; 1:03 p. m.]

United States of America—Before the Securities and Exchange Commission

At a regular session of the Securities and Exchange Commission held at its office in the City of Washington, D. C., on the 19th day of December A. D. 1936.

[File No. 43-18]

IN THE MATTER OF REPUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATION

NOTICE OF AND ORDER FOR HEARING

Republic Service Corporation, a registered holding company, having duly filed with this Commission a declaration pursuant to Section 7 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 regarding the issue and sale of \$61,533.50 principal amount of Five Year Non-Interest Bearing Certificates of Indebtedness to be distributed to holders of the preferred stock of the declarant as a distribution of earnings for the current year; hearing on such declaration having been held on December 14, 1936; and said declarant now desiring to introduce further testimony in such matter;

It is ordered that the aforesaid hearing be re-opened for the introduction of further testimony and that such supplemental hearing shall be held before the Commission on December 23, 1936, at 10:30 o'clock in the forenoon of that date, at Room 1103, Securities and Exchange Building, 1778 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D. C.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS P. BRASSOR, *Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 3906—Filed, December 21, 1936; 1:02 p. m.]

United States of America—Before the Securities and Exchange Commission

At a regular session of the Securities and Exchange Commission held at its office in the City of Washington, D. C., on the 18th day of December A. D. 1936.

IN THE MATTER OF AN OFFERING SHEET OF A ROYALTY INTEREST IN THE CONTINENTAL-McCARTY FARM, FILED ON NOVEMBER 27, 1936, BY L. H. WITWER, RESPONDENT

CONSENT TO WITHDRAWAL OF FILING OF OFFERING SHEET AND ORDER TERMINATING PROCEEDING

The Securities and Exchange Commission, having been informed by the respondent that no sales of any of the interests covered by the offering sheet described in the title hereof have been made, and binding, upon the basis of such information, that the withdrawal of the filing of the said offering sheet, requested by such respondent, will be consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors, consents to the withdrawal of such filing but not to the removal of the said offering sheet, or any papers with reference thereto, from the files of the Commission; and

It is ordered that the Suspension Order, Order for Hearings, and Order Designating a Trial Examiner, heretofore entered in this proceeding,¹ be and the same are hereby revoked and the said proceeding terminated.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS P. BRASSOR, *Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 3912—Filed, December 21, 1936; 1:03 p. m.]

¹ 1 F. R. 2448.

United States of America—Before the Securities and Exchange Commission

At a regular session of the Securities and Exchange Commission held at its office in the City of Washington, D. C., on the 18th day of December A. D. 1936.

IN THE MATTER OF AN OFFERING SHEET OF A ROYALTY INTEREST IN THE STANOLIND-AMERADA-BIERSCHENK FARM (20 YEAR TERM), FILED ON NOVEMBER 20, 1936, BY JAMES M. JOHNSON, RESPONDENT

ORDER TERMINATING PROCEEDING AFTER AMENDMENT

The Securities and Exchange Commission, finding that the offering sheet filed with the Commission, which is the subject of this proceeding, has been amended, so far as necessary, in accordance with the Order previously entered in this proceeding;¹

It is ordered, pursuant to Rule 341 (d) of the Commission's General Rules and Regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that the amendment received at the office of the Commission on December 4, 1936, be effective as of December 12, 1936; and

It is further ordered that the Order for Hearing and Order Designating a Trial Examiner, heretofore entered in this proceeding, be and the same hereby are revoked and the said proceeding terminated.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS P. BRASSOR, *Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 3908—Filed, December 21, 1936; 1:02 p. m.]

United States of America—Before the Securities and Exchange Commission

At a regular session of the Securities and Exchange Commission held at its office in the City of Washington, D. C., on the 18th day of December A. D. 1936.

IN THE MATTER OF AN OFFERING SHEET OF A NON-PRODUCING WORKING INTEREST IN THE MARADUN-ROY WOODS FARM, FILED ON NOVEMBER 18, 1936, BY FRANK R. MOLL, RESPONDENT

ORDER TERMINATING PROCEEDING AFTER AMENDMENT

The Securities and Exchange Commission, finding that the offering sheet filed with the Commission, which is the subject of this proceeding, has been amended, so far as necessary, in accordance with the Suspension Order previously entered in this proceeding;²

It is ordered, pursuant to Rule 341 (d) of the Commission's General Rules and Regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that the amendment received at the office of the Commission on December 15, 1936, be effective as of December 15, 1936; and

It is further ordered that the Suspension Order, Order for Hearing and Order Designating a Trial Examiner, heretofore entered in this proceeding, be and the same hereby are revoked and the said proceeding terminated.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS P. BRASSOR, *Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 3910—Filed, December 21, 1936; 1:03 p. m.]

United States of America—Before the Securities and Exchange Commission

At a regular session of the Securities and Exchange Commission held at its office in the City of Washington, D. C., on the 18th day of December A. D. 1936.

¹ 1 F. R. 2354

² 1 F. R. 2362.

IN THE MATTER OF AN OFFERING SHEET OF AN OVER-RIDING ROYALTY INTEREST IN THE WILLETT-WILLETT #1 FARM, FILED ON DECEMBER 5, 1936, BY P. W. WILLETT, RESPONDENT

ORDER TERMINATING PROCEEDING AFTER AMENDMENT

The Securities and Exchange Commission, finding that the offering sheet filed with the Commission, which is the subject of this proceeding, has been amended, so far as necessary, in accordance with the Suspension Order previously entered in this proceeding;¹

It is ordered, pursuant to Rule 341 (d) of the Commission's General Rules and Regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that the amendment received at the office of the Commission on December 16, 1936, be effective as of December 16, 1936; and

It is further ordered that the Suspension Order, Order for Hearing, and Order Designating a Trial Examiner, heretofore entered in this proceeding, be, and the same hereby are, revoked and the said proceeding terminated.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS P. BRASSOR, *Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 3911—Filed, December 21, 1936; 1:03 p. m.]

United States of America—Before the Securities and Exchange Commission

At a regular session of the Securities and Exchange Commission held at its office in the City of Washington, D. C., on the 19th day of December A. D. 1936.

IN THE MATTER OF AN OFFERING SHEET OF A ROYALTY INTEREST IN THE HUTCHISON-REPUBLIC-WENDELL FARM, FILED ON DECEMBER 14, 1936, BY GEORGE C. CREAGER, INC., RESPONDENT

SUSPENSION ORDER, ORDER FOR HEARING (UNDER RULE 340 (A)), AND ORDER DESIGNATING TRIAL EXAMINER

The Securities and Exchange Commission, having reasonable grounds to believe, and therefore alleging, that the

¹ 1 F. R. 2470.

offering sheet described in the title hereof and filed by the respondent named therein is incomplete or inaccurate in the following material respects, to wit:

1. In that Division II of the offering sheet does not bear the date, nor the original signature of the person filing same;

It is ordered, pursuant to Rule 340 (a) of the Commission's General Rules and Regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that the effectiveness of the filing of said offering sheet be, and hereby is, suspended until the 18th day of January 1937 that an opportunity for hearing be given to the said respondent for the purpose of determining the material completeness or accuracy of the said offering sheet in the respects in which it is herein alleged to be incomplete or inaccurate, and whether the said order of suspension shall be revoked or continued; and

It is further ordered that Robert P. Reeder, an officer of the Commission, be and hereby is designated as trial examiner to preside at such hearing, to continue or adjourn the said hearing from time to time, to administer oaths and affirmations, subpoena witnesses, compel their attendance, take evidence, consider any amendments to said offering sheet as may be filed prior to the conclusion of the hearing, and require the production of any books, papers, correspondence, memoranda, or other records deemed relevant or material to the inquiry, and to perform all other duties in connection therewith authorized by law; and

It is further ordered that the taking of testimony in this proceeding commence on the 31st day of December 1936, at 10:30 o'clock in the forenoon, at the office of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 18th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D. C., and continue thereafter at such times and places as said examiner may designate.

Upon the completion of testimony in this matter the examiner is directed to close the hearing and make his report to the Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS P. BRASSOR, *Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 3907—Filed, December 21, 1936; 1:02 p. m.]

The first of these was the discovery of gold in California in 1848. This discovery led to a great influx of people to California, and the state became a great center of population. The second was the discovery of gold in Colorado in 1859. This discovery led to a great influx of people to Colorado, and the state became a great center of population. The third was the discovery of gold in Nevada in 1859. This discovery led to a great influx of people to Nevada, and the state became a great center of population. The fourth was the discovery of gold in Idaho in 1860. This discovery led to a great influx of people to Idaho, and the state became a great center of population. The fifth was the discovery of gold in Montana in 1862. This discovery led to a great influx of people to Montana, and the state became a great center of population. The sixth was the discovery of gold in Wyoming in 1869. This discovery led to a great influx of people to Wyoming, and the state became a great center of population. The seventh was the discovery of gold in Utah in 1871. This discovery led to a great influx of people to Utah, and the state became a great center of population. The eighth was the discovery of gold in Arizona in 1876. This discovery led to a great influx of people to Arizona, and the state became a great center of population. The ninth was the discovery of gold in New Mexico in 1878. This discovery led to a great influx of people to New Mexico, and the state became a great center of population. The tenth was the discovery of gold in Texas in 1880. This discovery led to a great influx of people to Texas, and the state became a great center of population.

The discovery of gold in California in 1848 was the first of a series of discoveries that led to the great influx of people to the western states. The discovery of gold in Colorado in 1859 was the second of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Colorado. The discovery of gold in Nevada in 1859 was the third of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Nevada. The discovery of gold in Idaho in 1860 was the fourth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Idaho. The discovery of gold in Montana in 1862 was the fifth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Montana. The discovery of gold in Wyoming in 1869 was the sixth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Wyoming. The discovery of gold in Utah in 1871 was the seventh of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Utah. The discovery of gold in Arizona in 1876 was the eighth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Arizona. The discovery of gold in New Mexico in 1878 was the ninth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to New Mexico. The discovery of gold in Texas in 1880 was the tenth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Texas.

The discovery of gold in California in 1848 was the first of a series of discoveries that led to the great influx of people to the western states. The discovery of gold in Colorado in 1859 was the second of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Colorado. The discovery of gold in Nevada in 1859 was the third of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Nevada. The discovery of gold in Idaho in 1860 was the fourth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Idaho. The discovery of gold in Montana in 1862 was the fifth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Montana. The discovery of gold in Wyoming in 1869 was the sixth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Wyoming. The discovery of gold in Utah in 1871 was the seventh of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Utah. The discovery of gold in Arizona in 1876 was the eighth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Arizona. The discovery of gold in New Mexico in 1878 was the ninth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to New Mexico. The discovery of gold in Texas in 1880 was the tenth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Texas.

The discovery of gold in California in 1848 was the first of a series of discoveries that led to the great influx of people to the western states. The discovery of gold in Colorado in 1859 was the second of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Colorado. The discovery of gold in Nevada in 1859 was the third of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Nevada. The discovery of gold in Idaho in 1860 was the fourth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Idaho. The discovery of gold in Montana in 1862 was the fifth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Montana. The discovery of gold in Wyoming in 1869 was the sixth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Wyoming. The discovery of gold in Utah in 1871 was the seventh of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Utah. The discovery of gold in Arizona in 1876 was the eighth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Arizona. The discovery of gold in New Mexico in 1878 was the ninth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to New Mexico. The discovery of gold in Texas in 1880 was the tenth of these discoveries, and it led to a great influx of people to Texas.